



CRM-M-49334-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

**CRM-M-49334-2025
Date of decision: 30.09.2025**

PAYAL RANI

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Sourabh Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.127 dated 16.05.2025 registered under Sections 132, 221, 304(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Tripri Patiala, District Patiala.

2. On 04.09.2025, following order had been passed: -

“Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.127 dated 16.05.2025 registered under Sections 132, 221, 304(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Tripri Patiala, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He argued that from the perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the allegations are levelled against coaccused and no role has been



attributed to the present petitioner. He further argued that the petitioner was granted the benefit of an interim anticipatory bail by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Court, vide order dated 19.08.2025 and the petitioner had complied with the said order. However, later on vide order dated 28.08.2025, the interim bail granted to the petitioner, was cancelled solely on the ground that as per the prosecution, the petitioner did not cooperate with the investigating agency and had not got recovered the alleged gold chain which was allegedly snatched by coaccused. It has also been contended that since the present petitioner has been falsely roped in the present case, as such, it is not expected from her to get the gold chain recovered. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file status report in the matter.

Adjourned to 30.09.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.09.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.



CRM-M-49334-2025

3. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Kuldeep, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 04.09.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

30.09.2025

Mohit Bishnoi

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |