



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(229)

RSA-1312-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-15.09.2025

TULSI RAM

... Appellant

Versus

PUNJAB STATE THROUGH ITS COLLECTOR AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. I.S. Kingra, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. On the previous date, it was ordered that notice be issued to the appellant, as the counsel for the appellant had since died. The notice has been received back with the report that the appellant has also died, and no one has appeared to get themselves impleaded as the legal representatives (LRs) of the appellant.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the LRs, if any, of the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands disposed of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

15.09.2025

S. Pathania

Whether reasoned / speaking?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No