



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-54480-2024
Date of Decision:- 13.10.2025

JITENDER KUMAR

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Santosh Kumar Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Varun Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No.111 dated 19.05.2024 under Sections 376/346/384/506/511 IPC (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station Dhauj, Faridabad, District Faridabad.

2. As per facts of the case, prosecutrix 'S' gave her statement that she was born on 01.01.2006 and was studying in 12th class. She met Jitender through Instagram about a month ago. They started talking to each other on phone. He came from Bareilly to Faridabad on 07.05.2024 to meet her. He expressed his love and wanted to take her to Bareilly but she refused. She



suggested him that she will talk to her parents and then they can get married. Thereafter, Jitender went away but he got angry and started blackmailing her. He even threatened to kill himself. On 18.05.2024 he came to meet her and called her to Auto Stand of Khedi Nangala. Out of fear she went to meet her. He took her to Shiv Temple in Pali. He forcibly asked her to marry him. When she refused Jitender started misbehaved with her and tried to commit rape with her. There was a police station nearby. She escaped and ran to the police station. The police informed her parents and she came back home. Said Jitender allured her to accompany him to Bareilly and also forced to have physical relations with her. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner was arrested in this case on 19.05.2024. Allegations levelled against him are false. In-fact, they were having love affair. Complainant willingly left her house and came to Shiv Temple to perform marriage. Father of the prosecutrix was unhappy with their marriage. Now petitioner is facing the trial. Statement of prosecutrix as PW1 has been recorded. He is ready to abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.

4. Status report filed confirming the allegations detailed in the FIR. During investigation statement of the victim was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. on 20.05.2024. Accused was arrested on 19.05.2024. On completion of investigation, challan was presented on 18.07.2024 and



the accused was charge-sheeted on 20.02.2025 for the offence under Section 376/511/346/384/506 IPC. Now prosecution evidence is being recorded.

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. Statement of prosecutrix as PW1 has been recorded on 09.09.2025. He was declared hostile and was cross-examined by the learned Public Prosecutrix representing the State. Other prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined. Petitioner is behind the bars since 19.05.2024. Trial in this case may take long time. Evidence will be appreciated at appropriate stage. Therefore, without expressing my mind on the merits of the case, regular bail petition filed by the petitioner Jitender Kumar is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge, concerned.

7. Pending miscellaneous application (s), if any, stands disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

13.10.2025

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No