



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(113)

**CR-5416-2025 (O&M)**  
Date of Decision:-**13.08.2025**

KAMALJEET SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

DAVINDER KAUR

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL**

Present:- Mr. Raghbir Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Rahul Noorie, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J.** (Oral)

1. This petition, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seeks to *set-aside* the impugned order dated 11.09.2024 (Annexure P-5), whereby the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Rajpura, declined to grant an *ex-parte* injunction on the application under Order 39, Rules 1 and 2 of the CPC. Instead, the court issued notice to the respondents/defendants. Despite nearly one year have passed, the application for interim injunction remains pending and undecided on its merits.

2. The revision petitioner prays that this Court to exercise its powers of superintendence and oversight over the Courts below, and issue a direction for the injunction application to be disposed of on its merits at the earliest.

3. Injunction applications are required to be disposed of expeditiously. In this case, a period of nearly one year has elapsed, but the



learned Civil Judge (Junior Division) has not taken any decision on the interim injunction application on merits.

4. The revision petition filed by the petitioner is allowed, with a direction to the learned Civil Judge to dispose of the interim injunction application on its merits, in accordance with law, within one month from the next date fixed before the court below.

5. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands also disposed of.

**13.08.2025**  
Gaurav Sorot

**( VIRINDER AGGARWAL )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No