



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-63113-2024
DECIDED ON: 25.07.2025**

JITENDER

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Sadhvi Bharti, Advocate for
Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular to the petitioner in FIR No.102 dated 21.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 302, 201 of IPC alongwith Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and has been subsequently implicated on extraneous considerations, despite being innocent and having no connection with the commission of the alleged offence.

Heard.

According to the prosecution case, the FIR was lodged by one Umed Singh, son of Sh. Satvir Singh (the complainant), who alleged that

while he was at his residence, his neighbour, Satyaprakash, informed him that smoke was emanating from the farmhouse. Upon receiving this information, the complainant immediately rushed to the spot and reached there within 5 to 10 minutes. As per the complainant's version, upon arrival, he discovered a half-burnt torso of a dead body, which he was unable to identify. The legs and neck were found to be missing. The FIR was registered after the police received a call on the emergency number 112, pursuant to which the police arrived at the spot and took into possession the said half-burnt body, which was later identified as that of a woman.

It is a matter of record that the petitioner made a disclosure statement on 27.04.2023, pursuant to which he demarcated the place of occurrence and led to the recovery of clothes allegedly worn by the deceased, Sonia, at the time of the incident.

The petitioner is also stated to have made a supplementary disclosure statement on 28.04.2023, leading to the recovery of a knife and an iron moosli, allegedly used in the commission of the offence. Consequently, Section 25 of the Arms Act was added. Further recoveries included a motorcycle, helmet, rubber rope, trolley bag, backpack, mobile phone, and capri.

After completing the investigation, the challan was presented to the court on 24.07.2023 and charges stood framed on 06.09.2023 and the prosecution has cited 47 witnesses out of which 17 have been examined with the next date of hearing fixed for 15.09.2023.

Having perused the custody certificate, which was produced by learned State counsel, it revealed that the petitioner has undergone 2 years, 2 months and 25 days of custody.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, any observations or discussions made hereinabove shall not be construed as having any bearing on the merits of the case and shall not prejudice the conduct of the trial before the learned Trial Court.

Ordered accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

25.07.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No