



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-54212-2025

Date of Decision: 30.09.2025

SAHID

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Parashar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Birender Bikram Attrey, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

REGULAR BAIL UNDER SECTION			483 BNSS
FIR No.	Date	Police Station	Sections
589	17.07.2024	Sector-58, Faridabad, Haryana	20(B) (II) (C) of NDPS Act

1. The petitioner incarcerated in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 seeking regular bail.

2. Notice served upon the official respondent through the State's counsel. Custody certificate dated 27.09.2025 filed by State counsel today in the Court is taken on record. State counsel opposes bail on the ground that the petitioner's custody period is less.

3. On this, counsel for the petitioner submits that the custody period is close to one year, and this Court has granted bail in cases involving somewhat similar quantity of Ganja in custody of one year.

4. In response, State counsel submits that the petitioner's custody period is 10 months, not one year.

5. On this, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after completing one year of custody.

6. Given above, the present petition stands disposed of having been withdrawn with liberty to file fresh after completion of custody of one year. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

30.09.2025

Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No.