



CRM-M-20081-2025 (O&M)

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20081-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: August 01, 2025

Udham Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Aman Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.)/ Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.264 dated 08.09.2021, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Sadar, Palwal.

2. On 24.04.2025, the following order was passed:

"The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of Cr.P.C./482 of BNSS, 2023, in case FIR No.264, dated 08.09.2021, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the instant case has been registered against him for placing on record a fabricated medical certificate before a court of law. Learned counsel contends that the said medical certificate is of his daughter-in-law, who had herself provided the same to the petitioner, which thereafter, was produced before the court concerned. He submits that he was unaware about the authenticity of the medical certificate provided to him by his daughter-in-law, who is now estranged with her husband. It has been further submitted that no recovery would be required to be effected from



CRM-M-20081-2025 (O&M)

the petitioner since the alleged fabricated certificate is already in the possession of the Investigating Agency.

On a pointed query as to whether the petitioner has any previous criminal antecedents, learned counsel has categorically replied in the negative.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rahul Mohan, Senior DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. He prays for time to file reply.

Adjourned to 01.08.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from SI Rashid Khan) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 24.04.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation, and his custodial interrogation is not required.
4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, the interim order dated 24.04.2025 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.



CRM-M-20081-2025 (O&M)

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE**

August 01, 2025

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No