



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-56350-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.10.2025

BALWINDER SINGH**...Petitioner**

V/S

STATE OF PUJAB**...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Wadhwa, DAG Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks regular bail in case arising out of FIR No. 139 dated 25.06.2025, under Sections 108, 3(5) Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Patran, District Patiala. This is his first petition for regular bail.

Complainant Balvir Singh son of Dharam Singh stated that his daughter Kiranpal Kaur was married with Karnail Singh 12 years ago and his son-in-law Karnail Singh was an ex-servicemen. She had two children Jeet Singh and Noor. Karnail Singh used to quarrel with Kiranpal Kaur after consuming alcohol. On 15.06.2025, Karnail Singh left Kiranpal Kaur along with children at his house and took them back on 20.06.2025. When his daughter was visiting, she informed that Karnail Singh along with his brothers Satvir Singh, Satpal Singh and Ramesh sons of Kehar Singh harassed her and Balwinder Singh son of Nanak Singh supported Karnail Singh. On 25.06.2025,



at about 12:00 noon, Karnail Singh called his son Gurmeet Singh to inform that Kiranpal Kaur had consumed poison and was shifted to Hospital for treatment. Balwinder Singh told his son that Kiranpal Kaur was fine and they were taking her to Samana Hospital for treatment. On the way to Samana Hospital, he was telephonically informed that Kiranpal Kaur had died. When they reached Village Gulahar, they learnt that Kiranpal Kaur had ended her life by consuming poison due to harassment by Karnail Singh, Satvir Singh, Satpal Singh, Ramesh Uqtan and Balwinder Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that petitioner was not related to the deceased and was only Mediator in the marriage, which was 12 years old. He further submits that allegations of harassment levelled against the petitioner were vague and general in nature. There was neither any suicide note nor any dying declaration left behind by the deceased. The FIR did not mention any specific instances or dates of the alleged harassment by the petitioner and no offence under Section 306 IPC was made out against him. He further submits that petitioner was not even residing in the same city and co-accused namely Sunita Rani and Ramesh Kumar, who were the sister-in-law and brother-in-law of deceased had already been enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court vide orders dated 30.09.2025. Petitioner was in custody for the last 02 months and 25 days and deserved to be enlarged on bail.

Learned State counsel appears on advance notice, filed custody certificate and opposed the prayer for regular bail.



As per custody certificate, petitioner is behind bars for last more than two months. Co-accused namely Sunita Rani and Ramesh Kumar have already been enlarged on anticipatory bail vide orders of this Court dated 30.09.2025. Case against the petitioner is not on worse footing. Whether the offence under Section 306 IPC is attracted in the case would be moot point during the trial, which is likely to take some time to conclude. In the given facts and circumstances of the case, but without commenting on the merits, the petition is allowed. Petitioner-Balwinder Singh, is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

14.10.2025

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No