



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22106-2025
Date of decision: 12.05.2025**

BOOTA SINGH

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
30	23.12.2024	318 (4), 336 (2), 336 (3), 338, 340 (2), 61 (2) of BNS, 2023 and 66 (C), 66 (D) of Information Technology Act, 2000.	Punjab State Cyber Crime Police Station District Crime Wing, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 28.04.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 28.04.2025.

3. Learned State counsel intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.



CRM-M-22106-2025

2

4. During the course of hearing on 28.04.2025, following order was passed:

“ 2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents. He is not named in the FIR but has been nominated only on account of some amount being transferred in the account of the petitioner. He submits that the petitioner has already transferred the amount of Rs.2,52,300/- in the account of Paramjeet Singh a farmer vide Annexure P-5. The petitioner has no concern whatsoever with the allegations leveled in the present FIR and even the aforesaid amount was deposited in the account of petitioner without his knowledge. He as such seeks anticipatory bail.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.S.Batta, DAG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.

5. Adjourned to 12.05.2025.

6. On a query from learned State counsel, it is pointed out that he has not received any pairvi report from the concerned Police Station nor any official has come forward to assist him regarding the case.

7. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali is directed to file personal affidavit as to why neither the pairvi report was sent to learned State counsel nor any police official has come forward along with record to assist him in Court despite advance notice of the petition being there. Affidavit of the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali be filed on the next date.

8. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his



CRM-M-22106-2025

3

furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS). ”

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 28.04.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 28.04.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

12.05.2025

kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No