



CWP-28649-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CWP-28649-2025

Date of Decision: 24.09.2025

Balwinder Singh

...Petitioner

**Versus**

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J.** (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to include his service rendered as Member of Home Guards in the subsequent service rendered as Constable.

2. The petitioner was Member of U.T. Chandigarh Home Guards. He was appointed as Constable vide appointment letter dated 16.05.2005 issued by State of Punjab. He joined service as Constable on 17.07.2005. He claims that his service rendered as Member of Home Guards should be counted in total length of service. If the service as Member of Home Guards is counted, he would be eligible for Old Pension Scheme.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per Rule 3.17 of Punjab Civil Services Rules read with notification dated 18.11.2022 of Punjab Government, the petitioner is entitled to Old Pension Scheme. The respondent may be directed to consider his claim.

**CWP-28649-2025****-2-**

4. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of respondent-State, assures the Court that competent authority would consider petitioner's claim in the light of afore-stated statutory provision and notification issued by State Government.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees with the aforesaid arrangement.

6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

7. Let the needful be done within six months from today.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**24.09.2025***Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No