



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(103+210)

COCP No. 1539 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.05.2025

**Gulshan Kumar Budhiraja and another**

...Petitioners

Versus

**Kishan Partap Singh, Regional Provident Fund Commissioner**

...Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate  
Mr. Raman Kaplish, Advocate and  
Mr. Lovedev Singh, Advocate  
for petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)**

**CM-9037-CII of 2025.**

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

**CM-9038-CII of 2025.**

The application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions. Document i.e., short reply by way of affidavit is taken on record.

**COCP No. 1539 of 2025.**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that vide order dated 17.11.2023, directions had been issued to consider the claim of the petitioners in view of judgment dated 04.11.2022 passed in case of *The Employees Provident Fund Organization and another Vs. Sunil Kumar B and others, 2022 AIR (Supreme Court) 5634* within a period of four months. He submits that in the online applications submitted by the petitioners, there were certain discrepancies, as a result of which the same

were rejected. However, subsequently offline applications had been submitted which have now been considered as a special case. He further submits that demand letters Annexures R-1 and R-2 had been issued to the petitioners and the petitioners had been asked to deposit the amount which they have withdrawn from their EPF Accounts for being eligible to get higher pension. He submits that the respondent undertakes to fix the pension of the petitioners on higher wages within two weeks on deposit of the amount by the petitioners and shall further release the arrears of pension within one month thereafter. Learned counsel further submits that pursuant to the demand letters, the amount has duly been deposited on 28.04.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the fair stand taken by the respondent, no grievance subsists at this stage.

That being so, rule stands discharged and the contempt petition stands disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 07, 2025**

Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No