



**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-4914-2018**

**Date of decision : 24.03.2025**

**Rajinder Kumar and another**

**...Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**Sita Devi (Deceased) through LRs  
and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr.Yagsimant Attri, Advocate for  
Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate  
Ms. Vaishali Singla, Advocate  
for respondent No.1.

\*\*\*

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.** (Oral)

1. The petitioners herein have filed a suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell dated 28.02.2012. It is the case of the plaintiffs that the defendants entered into an agreement to sell in their favour with respect to the land measuring 10 kanals 19 marlas, however, the defendants have failed to honour the agreement to sell. Pursuant to notice in the suit, the defendants appeared and filed an application that they are prepared to execute the sale deed as per agreement to sell. However, the plaintiffs opposed the same.

2. Subsequently, the plaintiffs filed an application for direction to the defendants to disclose how much portion of the suit land has been acquired. The plaintiffs assert that 02 kanals 1 marla land was acquired by the National



Highways Authority of India (NHAI), whereas, remaining has been acquired by the Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (UHBVNL) for installing poles. The defendants have denied any acquisition. The trial Court has dismissed the application.

3. Heard the learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paper-book.

4. While filing the suit, the plaintiffs have themselves pleaded in their plaint that 02 kanals 01 marlas has been acquired out of the total land measuring 10 kanals 19 marlas. Moreover, the defendants denied the acquisition by UHBVNL.

5. In such circumstances, there is no ground to interfere with the impugned order.

6. Hence, the revision petition is dismissed.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**24.03.2025**  
neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No