

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2019 of 2017

Date of Decision: 16.10.2019

Dr. Amar Singh Malhotra and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Sh. Mahavir Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Mr. A. Sreenivas, Director Higher Education, Haryana, same is taken on record. Copy supplied to counsel for petitioners.

Alongwith reply details of leave encashment bills have been annexed.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Ms. Joytshna Sangwan O/o DHE Panchkula submits that the payment of leave encashment to the petitioners has already been made.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is not in a position to contradict the said fact due to lack of instructions, however, he seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law against the order passed.

There is no occasion for this Court to disbelieve the statement made by State counsel today in Court.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

However, it is clarified that in case the payment has not been credited in the account of the petitioners, they shall be at liberty to revive the petition. Further, petitioners shall also be at liberty to avail remedy if they are still aggrieved of the calculation of the amount.

16.10.2019

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No