



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

730

CWP-8814-2004 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.04.2025

Jarnail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Anamika Sheoran, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for directing the respondents to issue appointment letter to the petitioner, might not due to efflux of time, even be surviving.
2. Learned State counsel refers to the written statement dated 25.01.2005 filed by respondent Nos.1 to 3, wherein the objection had been taken as the petition being barred by limitation having been filed after 7 years and 8 months and that the petitioner, who was issued appointment letter, did not join for reasons best known and after the expiry of the stipulated period, Sh. Sukhdarshan Singh, was adjusted being selected, to which there was no replication.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner also states that despite best efforts, he has not been able to establish contact with the client.

4. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case *lis* still survives.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

21.04.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No