



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

132

RSA-1922-1994 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.05.2025

SOM NATH

... Appellant

V/S

MUKESH RANI

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. As per office report, sole appellant has expired.
2. No one has appeared to pursue the appeal.
3. Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.
4. Liberty is, however, granted to revive the appeal, in case,
anything survives for consideration.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

06.05.2025

pooja saini

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>