



CRM-M-30455-2025 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

S.No.210

CRM-M-30455-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 19.8.2025

Angad Talwar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Mr. S.S.Sarwara, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gauravdeep Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate,
for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.13 dated 26.4.2025 registered under Sections 316(2), 318(8), 61(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 24 of Immigration Act at Police Station NRI, Jalandhar.

2. Present case was registered on the basis of the complaint given to the police by Jaswinder Singh in his capacity as power of attorney holder of his sister Mandeep Kaur with the allegations that Mandeep Kaur has filed a complaint against Sharan Rana and Harish Kumar ATC Advisor. Sharan Rana is presently residing in Canada and had promised to arrange a work



CRM-M-30455-2025 (O&M)

permit for Mandeep Kaur in Canada for which she asked Mandeep Kaur to transfer a sum of ₹ 2,50,000/- on 4.9.2024 and ₹ 4 lakh on 6.9.2024 in the bank account of Harish Kumar. Besides this, Mandeep Kaur had also paid a sum of ₹ 9.5 lakh in cash to Sharan Rana but she did not arrange the work permit. The complainant also provided mobile number of Angad Talwar petitioner who is brother of Sharan Rana as Sharan Rana was not attending to her telephonic calls and the complainant sought action against the accused. During investigation, it was found that Sharan Rana and Harish Kumar ATC Advisor in conspiracy with each other have cheated Mandeep Kaur of a sum of ₹ 16 lakh on the pretext of arranging work permit for her in Canada but the same was not provided and the amount has also not been returned. Petitioner applied for anticipatory bail but the same was dismissed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge vide order dated 15.5.2025.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned State counsel assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant and have gone through the material collected by the police during investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the work permit was to be provided by Sharan Rana and since she had allegedly failed to do so, the complainant gave the mobile number of the petitioner who is residing in India to the police but he has no concern with the present case. Learned counsel further contended that there is no allegation in the FIR that the petitioner ever induced the complainant to pay any money to him or to his sister Sharan Rana with a promise to arrange work permit for her and false allegations have been levelled against him. The petitioner has already joined



CRM-M-30455-2025 (O&M)

investigation on the direction of this Court. Nothing is to be recovered from his possession and as such, interim order dated 28.5.2025, vide which, he was released on ad-interim bail be made absolute.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant has argued that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail as he in conspiracy with his sister Sharan Rana and Harish Kumar had cheated the victim of ₹ 16 lakh which have to be recovered and the petitioner, thus, does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

6. There is no allegation against the petitioner in the FIR that he ever induced the complainant to pay any money either to him or to his sister Sharan Rana. As per the allegations in the FIR, it is accused Sharan Rana who had promised to arrange work permit for the victim in lieu of money to be paid to her. Only the mobile number of the petitioner was given to the police after Sharan Rana did not attend to the calls of the complainant. It will, thus, be a debatable question during trial as to whether the petitioner was involved in hatching any conspiracy or not. Petitioner has already joined the investigation on the direction of this Court and his custodial interrogation is not required.

7. In view of the aforesaid, the petition in hand is allowed and the order dated 28.5.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer.

8. Disposed of.



CRM-M-30455-2025 (O&M)

9. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

August 19, 2025

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No