



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 23254 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.08.2025**

Anil Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The following prayer(s) / relief(s) have been sought for in the present petition:-

“ **CIVIL WRIT PETITION** under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ, especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to enhance compensation at the rate of Rs. 5,01,76,000/- per acre in favour of petitioner in view of order dated 01.11.2019 passed by this Hon'ble Court along-with other connected appeal i.e. RFA No.4775 of 2025 titled as Tek Ram and another versus State of Haryana and another (**Annexure P-3**) as this Hon'ble Court already determined the market value of the acquired property of Village Choma, in the interest of justice.

In alternate, to issue a writ in especially in the nature of mandamus directing the Ld. Reference Court to consider and decide the reference petition of the petitioner (**Annexure P-5**) in time bound manner as this Hon'ble Court has already accessed the market value of the acquired land of Village Choma.

Any other appropriate writ, order or direction, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the instant case. ”

[2] However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer only for issuance of directions to the learned Reference Court at Gurugram to adjudicate upon the case bearing *LAC No. 655 of 2019*, titled "*Sohan Lal Versus State of Haryana*" within a time bound manner.

[3] Notice of motion.

[4] Ms. Komal Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents.

[5] I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book.

[6] Though, for the purpose of seeking relief of issuance of directions to the learned Tribunal instead of filing the writ petition, the petitioner was to invoke supervisory revisional jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, however, considering the interest of justice and in order to avoid multiplicity of litigation, the learned Additional District Judge, Gurugram-cum-Reference Court is requested to expedite the proceedings in the case of *Sohan Lal (supra)* and conclude the same at the earliest.

[7] **Disposed off** accordingly.

[8] Needless to add here that nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the reference petition.

[9] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

August 12, 2025

'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>