



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRWP-6004 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 05.06.2025

SIMRANJEET AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Manoj K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms.Navreet K Barnala, AAG, Punjab.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Simranjeet and Davinder Singh have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for directing official respondents No.1 to 3 to take action on representation dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-3) and for protecting life and liberty of petitioners, who are living together in a live-in relationship, from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, her date of birth is 05.06.2001. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 2, Annexure P-2, his date of birth is 30.11.1992. They are in live-in relationship. Petitioners are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 6, who are family members of petitioner No. 1, thus has filed present petition. Petitioners have also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur, which is Annexure P-3.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On advance notice, Ms. Navreet K Barnala, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship against the wishes of family of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No.1 to 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-3) and protect life of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

05.06.2025

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No