



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

206

**RSA-3028-1999 (O&M)  
Date of Decision.:20.05.2025**

**Shinder Singh**

**Appellant**

**Vs.**

**Chand Kaur**

**Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present: None.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

On 14.10.2024, following order was passed:

“There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. Notice issued to respondents No.1, 2, 5 and 6 has been received back with the report that they have since expired. Respondents No.11 to 15 have been duly served either personally or through their brother. Nobody has appeared for these respondents and as such, respondents No.11 to 15 are proceeded ex parte. Notice issued to respondents No.3, 4, 7, 8, 9 and 10 have been received back unserved for one or the other reason. Appellant is directed to provide latest correct and complete address of the unserved respondents and then notice to them be issued for 18.12.2024. Appropriate application be also moved to bring on record the LRs of deceased respondents No.1, 2, 5 and 6.”

Today it is reported by the registry that counsel for the appellant has not furnished the fresh addresses of the unserved respondents, due to which the notices could not be issued.



**RSA-3028-1999 (O&M)**

**-2-**

It is thus apparent that neither anybody is appearing on behalf of the appellant nor the order dated 14.10.2024 has been complied. Even on 14.10.2024, nobody had appeared for the appellant.

All the circumstances indicate that appellant is no longer interested in pursuing the appeal.

Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

**( DEEPAK GUPTA )  
JUDGE**

**May 20, 2025**

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No