



CR No. 6530 of 2025 (O&M) -1-

126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6530 of 2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 16.09.2025

KARAMJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER
.....PETITIONERS

Vs.

HARPREET SINGH AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.

1. Prayer in the present Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of appropriate direction to the learned Additional District Judge, Bathinda, to first decide the application under Order VI Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short, '*the CPC*') (Annexure P-13), filed by the petitioners before the learned appellate Court along with C.A. No. 98 of 2023 (Annexure P-11).

2. The brief facts of the case are that contesting respondent No. 1-plaintiff Harpreet Singh, son of Resham Singh, filed a civil suit for specific performance by way of possession on the basis of an agreement to sell dated 12.09.2016, executed by the petitioners/defendants No. 3 and 4 in favour of the plaintiff, in respect of the suit property, and further challenged the sale deed dated 20.02.2017 executed by defendant No. 1-Rajinder Kaur in favour of defendant No. 3-Karamjit Kaur (petitioner No.



CR No. 6530 of 2025 (O&M) -2-

1 herein) as being illegal, null and void. He also sought the relief of permanent injunction.

2.1 The suit was contested by the defendants, i.e. proforma respondents No. 2 and 3, as well as by the petitioners, and ultimately, the suit of contesting respondent No. 1-plaintiff was decreed, vide judgment and decree dated 03.03.2023 (Annexure P-10). The present petitioners filed an appeal on 17.04.2023 (Annexure P-11) against the said judgment and decree before the learned District Judge, Bathinda, which was thereafter, entrusted to the learned Additional District Judge, Bathinda, on 18.04.2023.

3. The grievance of the petitioners before this Court is that along with the aforesaid appeal, they had also filed an injunction application and an application under Order VI Rule 17 of the CPC, but till date the same have not been decided by the learned Additional District Judge, Bathinda.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and perused the paper-book.

5. In view of the order proposed to be passed, notice is not being issued to the respondents as the same would only delay the proceedings besides entailing additional expense upon them.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the considered opinion that the learned Additional District Judge, Bathinda, deserves to be directed to dispose of the aforesaid pending applications at the earliest.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Additional District Judge, Bathinda, to decide the



CR No. 6530 of 2025 (O&M) -3-

aforesaid applications, i.e. injunction application and application under Order VI Rule 17 of the CPC pending before it in the present case, as expeditiously as possible.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

SEPTEMBER 16, 2025
nitin

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No