



151

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11045-2025

Date of decision : 14.10.2025

Taro Devi and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate (through VC) and
Mr. Dilpreet, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for directing respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners, who are being harassed and threatened by respondents No.5 to 7, despite being fully aware that the petitioners are not involved in any criminal case and only son of the petitioner namely, Kuldeep Singh is involved in case FIR No.139 dated 11.08.2025, under Section 15 of the NDPS Act, 1985, Section 29 of NDPS Act (added later on). Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.2 to 4 to take action on the representation dated 01.10.2025 (Annexure P-2) for the purpose of protection of life and liberty of petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the son of petitioner No.1 has been falsely implicated in FIR No.139 dated 11.08.2025, under Section 15 of the NDPS Act, 1985, Section 29 of NDPS Act (added later on) on the basis of disclosure statement. It is submitted

**CRWP-11045-2025****-2-**

that the petitioners have no role in the FIR however, they are being harassed by the police by raiding their houses time and again. It is further submitted that the petitioners are ready to cooperate with the investigating agencies in the investigation however, the investigating agencies should conduct the investigation strictly as per the mandate of the law. It has also been submitted that for the redressal of their grievance, petitioners have filed representation dated 01.10.2025 (Annexure P-2) before respondents No.2 to 4 but no action has been taken on the same.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, respondent No.4-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, Police Lines, Sangrur is directed to decide the representation dated 01.10.2025 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioners expeditiously preferably within four weeks from today in accordance with law.

6. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of.

14.10.2025
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No