



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

154

**CWP-24931-2025 (O&M).  
Date of Decision: 26.08.2025.**

Tejal Jot Badwal

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

Registrar, Cooperative Societies and others

....Respondents.

\*\*\*

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

---

**Present:** Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Jaswal, Advocate  
Mr. Buta Singh, Advocate and  
Mr. Tapan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sandisha Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab  
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Ms. Karina Kalra, Advocate for  
Mrs. Avin Sandhu, Advocate for respondent No.4-GMADA.

\*\*\*\*

**ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was successful in the draw of lots and was allotted a residential plot in the 'women preferential' category. The petitioner was issued the allotment letter on 28.06.2023. The petitioner had obtained a loan from respondent No.3-Cooperative Bank and the bank had thereafter deposited a sum of Rs.10 Lakhs in the account of respondent No.4-GMADA, but possession was delayed. The petitioner had made payment promptly after issuance of the allotment letter. However, respondent No.2 vide impugned *ex parte* order dated 27.11.2024 (Annexure P-6) has erroneously ordered recovery of

Rs.8,82,386/- along with interest. He further submits that the petitioner is settled abroad, she was in the family way and a baby was born to her and so she could not come to India to contest the case filed by respondent No.3 before respondent No.2. He further submits that the petitioner has preferred a statutory appeal which is pending adjudication before respondent No.1, who may be directed to consider and decide the same in a time bound manner.

2. Issue notice to the respondents.

3. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Samdisha Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

4. Ms. Karina Kalra, Advocate for Mrs. Avin Sandhu, Advocate has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4-GMADA.

5. Heard.

6. In view of the above, we deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.1 to consider and decide the appeal preferred by the petitioner in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. Till the decision of the said appeal, the recovery proceedings shall remain stayed.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)**  
**JUDGE**

**26.08.2025**

*jitender*

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No