



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

225-2

CRM-M-57689 of 2023  
Date of decision: 24.07.2025

Samriti Mehra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Jasjit Singh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Ravisha Mahajan, Advocate, for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. 1973 in case FIR No.215 dated 04.07.2022 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar.

2. Vide order dated 21.12.2023, petitioner was ordered to be released on interim bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after releasing the petitioner on interim bail, the bank has already auctioned her house and she is having only a residential house and proceedings as per law are still in progress. The petitioner has undergone for a period of 3 months. He further submits that petitioner has not misused the concession of interim bail granted to her and she is willing to join each and every date of proceedings.

3. Learned State counsel submits that after releasing her on bail, petitioner has joined almost all the proceedings before the trial Court and she has not misused the concession of interim bail. Custody certificate filed in the



Court today, is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 3 months.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently oppose the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner as an amount of Rs.2,11,00,000/- is still outstanding towards the petitioner and co-accused.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner and undertaking to the effect that she will join all the proceedings before the trial Court, the order dated 21.12.2023 granting her interim bail is made **absolute**.

7. In the light of the above, the present petition is disposed of accordingly. However, the petitioner shall consider the offer made by Bank for One Time Settlement.

8. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of her bail.

**(H.S. GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**24.07.2025**

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No