



LPA-1960-2025 (O&M)

Page 1 of 2

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1960-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.07.2025

DR. SAROJ DEVI

. . . . Appellant

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate with
Ms. Bhavya Vats, Advocate
Mr. Tushar Kush, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 7.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

CM-4845-LPA-2025

Application for condonation of delay of 15 days in filing of appeal is allowed, and accordingly, the delay is condoned.

Main case

1. Notice of motion.
2. Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State; and Mr. Keshav Gupta, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.3 to 7.
3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that final relief has been granted by way of an interim order dated 20.05.2025 passed by the



LPA-1960-2025 (O&M)

Page 2 of 2

learned Single Judge. The challenge in the writ petition was made by persons who were in no manner contesting or claiming for the post.

4. *Prima facie*, we find that in a Writ of Quo Warranto, final relief cannot be granted by an interim order. While we allow the Hon'ble learned Single Judge to continue the proceedings in the writ petition, we stay the effect and operation of the interim order dated 20.05.2025, till the disposal of the writ petition.
5. With the aforesaid observations, appeal stands disposed of.
6. All pending applications also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

11.07.2025

Mohit goyal

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes/No
Yes/No