



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31190-2023
DECIDED ON: 19.02.2025**

RAUNAK RAKESH GUPTA **.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS **.....RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhinav Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No. 217 dated 29.09.2022 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 419, 420, 467, 120-B, 414 IPC (Sections 506, 201 IPC, 1860 added later on) and Sections 66-C and 66-D of the Information Technology (Amendment Act), 2008, registered with Police Station Moti Nagar, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, Punjab, alongwith all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom in view of the Compromise Deed dated 08.06.2023 (Annexure P-2) qua the petitioner.

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the present petition for quashing of FIR.

Vide order dated 23.08.2023, parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court and report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise was called for.

The reports dated 11.10.2023 and 04.12.2023 has been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, stating that the parties have entered into a compromise, which is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, has held:-

“The only inevitable conclusion from the above discussion is that there is no statutory bar under the Cr.P.C. which can affect the inherent power of this Court under Section 482. Further, the same cannot be limited to matrimonial cases alone and the Court has the wide power to quash the proceedings even in noncompoundable offences notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., in order to prevent the abuse of law and to secure the ends of justice.

The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is to be exercised Ex-Debitia Justitia to prevent an abuse of process of Court. There can neither be an exhaustive list nor the defined para-meters to enable a High Court to invoke or exercise its inherent powers. It will always depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. has no limits. However, the High Court will exercise it sparingly and with utmost care and caution. The exercise of power has to be with circumspection and restraint. The Court is a vital and an extra-ordinary effective instrument to maintain and control social order. The Courts play role of paramount importance in achieving peace, harmony and ever- lasting congeniality in society. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to

give full effect to the same unless such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery.”

The legal principles as laid down for quashing of the judgment were also approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another,(2012) 10 SCC 303'**. Furthermore, the broad principles for exercising the powers under Section 482 were summarized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others versus State of Gujarat and another” (2017) 9 SCC 641'**.

It is evident that in view of the amicable resolution of the issues amongst the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The furtherance of the proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time and there appears to be no chances of conviction.

In view of above, FIR No. 217 dated 29.09.2022 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 419, 420, 467, 120-B, 414 IPC (Sections 506, 201 IPC, 1860 added later on) and Sections 66-C and 66-D of the Information Technology (Amendment Act), 2008, registered with Police Station Moti Nagar, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, Punjab, alongwith all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the petitioner, on the basis of compromise deed dated 08.06.2023 (Annexure P-2).

The present petition is hereby allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

19.02.2025

Poonam Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No