

COCP No. 1809 of 2018

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 30, 2019

KISHORE KUMAR AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

INDERJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)**

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 08.05.2017 passed in CWP No. 9671 of 2017.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

*“ I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 01.03.2017 (Annexure P-6) served by the petitioners upon the respondents by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”*

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of an affidavit of Sh. Inderjit Singh, Director of Public Instructions (Elementary Education), Punjab alongwith Annexures R-1 and R-2, same is taken on record. Copy

handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that the claim of the petitioners has been accepted and monetary benefits have also been paid.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law in case there is any discrepancy in the calculation of monetary benefits.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2019**  
**sham**

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)**  
**JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned***      ***Yes/No***  
***Whether reportable***            ***Yes/No***