

**NIDHI CHHIKARA V/S UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND
OTHERS**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Rathee, Addl.Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

In compliance of order dated 24.04.2025 passed by this Court, the jurisdictional police authorities in Rohtak were directed to handover the demand draft of Rs.30,000/- to the petitioner as compensation in view of the provisions contained under Section 5 of the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958. In compliance thereof, the father of the petitioner was approached who refused to receive the demand drafts (Rs.10,000/- each) and returned the demand drafts to the police officials and he further informed that petitioner had gone abroad.

In view of the above, the direction to pay compensation of Rs.10,000/- each by respondents No.2 to 4 to the petitioner stands recalled and order dated 13.02.2025 is modified to that extent.

Registry is directed to hand over the demand drafts to respondents No.2 to 4 against proper receipt and identification as per rules.

Disposed of accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

03.07.2025

Neha