

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****133****CWP-5357-2025****DATE OF DECISION: 25.02.2025****M/S SITAL PARSHAD AND SONS AND ANOTHER** ... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND ANOTHER ... Respondent(s)**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have preferred a Securitization Application (Diary No.446/2025) before the DRT-II but the same will not be listed due to non-functioning of DRT-II. He submits that petitioners may be protected till the DRT-II resumes its functioning.

2. Heard.

3. It is settled law that the petitioner cannot be left remediless especially when the same has been provided by a Statute. We also draw our support from the order of the Supreme Court dated 16.12.2021 in the case of '**State Bar Council of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Union of India**' *Special Leave Petition (C) No.10911/2021*. Relevant extract is reproduced hereinbelow:-

"13. With a view to resolve the problem being faced by the parties, for the time being and purely as a stopgap arrangement, we request the concerned High Court(s) to entertain the matters falling within the jurisdiction of DRTs and DRATs under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, till further orders.



CWP-5357-2025

-2-

14. We make it clear that once the Tribunal(s) is/are constituted, the matters can be relegated to the Tribunals by the High Court(s)."

4. As DRT-II is stated to be non-functional, it would be in the interest of justice, if the petitioner is protected for some time till the DRT-II resumes its functioning.

5. At this juncture, Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India submits that the proposal for extending the additional charge of DRT-II to DRT-I has been sent to the Appointments Committee of the Cabinet (ACC).

6. The petition is disposed of with the direction that no coercive measures shall be taken against the petitioner for a period of 15 days after the DRT-II resumes its functioning.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

25.02.2025

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No