



**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision : 27.01.2025

**1. RSA-1098-2000 (O&M)
Shashi Kumar and others ...Appellants**

Vs.

Punjab National Bank and others ...Respondent

**2. RSA-1099-2000 (O&M)
Shashi Kumar and others ...Appellants**

Vs.

Punjab National Bank and others ...Respondents

**3. RSA-1138-2000 (O&M)
Punjab National Bank ...Appellant**

Vs.

Pardeep Kumar and others ...Respondents

**4. RSA-1139-2000 (O&M)
Punjab National Bank ...Appellant**

Vs.

Pardeep Kumar and others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Vidul Kapoor, Advocate
for the appellant(s) in RSA-1098-2000 and RSA-1099-2000.**

None for the appellant(s) in RSA-1138-2000 and RSA-1139-2000.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

RSA-1098-2000 and RSA-1099-2000

1. A batch of four connected regular second appeals is listed.



Learned counsel representing the appellants in RSA-1098-2000 and RSA-1099-2000 submits that these appeals are rendered infructuous in view of the order passed by the Lok Adalat on 06.11.2004, which reads as under:-

“File has been put up before the Lok Adalat. J.D.Shashi Kumar has made a statement that as per the order dated 31.7.2004, he had deposited Rs.20,000/-on 12.8.2004, Rs.15,000/- on 31.8.2004 at Rs.1,35,000/- on 30.10.2004, with the bank. He has also placed on record the photostat of the receipts. He has also prayed that his land be released from attachment. Learned counsel for the D.H. has also made a statement that the J.D. had deposited the entire payment and, therefore, he does not want to proceed with the present execution petition and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn being fully satisfied. He has also no objection if the land is released from attachment. Their statements have been recorded separately. Heard, In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the D.H., the present execution petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn being fully satisfied. The land already attached, for the satisfaction of the present execution be released from attachment. File after needful be consigned to the record-room.”

2. In view of the aforesaid statement, both the appeals are dismissed as infructuous.

RSA-1138-2000 and RSA-1139-2000

1. These appeals are in fact cross-appeals.
2. Learned counsel representing the appellants (Punjab National Bank) is not present.
3. Since these are also cross-appeals, hence, the same are also



dismissed for non-prosecution as most probably these have been rendered infructuous. However, the appellant-Punjab National Bank will have liberty to file an application for revival, if the cause for filing these two appeals survives.

4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

27.01.2025

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No