



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

COCP-568-2024

Date of decision : 13.08.2025

Kuldeep Singh**..... Petitioner****versus****P.C. Meena, IAS****..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Surveen Chaudhary, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate
for the respondents.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition, the petitioner alleges willful disobedience of the order dated 22.09.2023 passed by Writ Court observing as under:-

“However, it is further made clear that all consequential benefits arising on that account including the deemed date promotion, re-fixation of allowances, arrears, whatsoever, if any, due to the petitioner in accordance with law shall be released forthwith, within a period of two months of from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.”

2. Pursuant to the order, the respondents have passed order Annexure P-2 dated 17.10.2023, holding that the petitioner is not entitled for pay and allowances for the period he has not actually worked.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submit that the Contempt Court would be within its jurisdiction to strike down such condition as the



same is in teeth of the settled proposition of law. In order to hammerforth his contention, he relies upon order dated 12.02.2024 passed by Coordinate Bench in COCP No.114 of 2022 titled as '*Nand Kishore and another vs. Mohammad Shayin, IAS and another*' dated 12.03.2024.

4. I have heard counsel for the petitioner and have carefully gone through the records of the case.

5. In the order dated 12.03.2024 passed in *Nand Kishore's case (supra)*, the Court observed that the LPA Bench clarified that the petitioners would not be made to suffer because of the fault of the respondents. It is in the said circumstances that the order was passed. In the present case, there is no such observation made by Writ Court. In view of above, no further order is required to be passed. However, the petitioner is granted liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law against the order passed by the respondent.

6. Consequently, the preset petition is disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

13.08.2025

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No