



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CWP-619-2019 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 21.01.2025

Kuldeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. D.S. Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel submits that the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment has been declined only on a wrong premise that he had applied for the post of Clerk while he had for the post of Peon, as per the application duly diarised No.104 dated 04.04.2016, Annexure P-3 and that only dependent legal members can be considered, with regard to which, he refers to the instructions dated 21.11.2002, Annexure P-9, wherein the son of the deceased is also eligible for consideration for compassionate appointment.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel sought instructions and thus states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decided afresh within a period of 6 months, which satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are

adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reason, whereupon the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

**21.01.2025**

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No