



CRM-M-7340-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

293

CRM-M-7340-2025

Date of decision : 16.09.2025

Harpreet Singh

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: None for petitioner.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned proxy counsel requested for a pass over twice, but the regular counsel did not turn up.

2. Report has been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala vide letter No.770 dated 07.07.2025, according to which the parties did not appear to get their statements recorded despite the case having been adjourned time and again and finally, the report was sent.

On the last date also, nobody had appeared on behalf of respondent No.2, whereas on behalf of the petitioner, proxy counsel had requested adjournment.

In the light of aforesaid factual position, it is evident that the alleged compromise between the petitioner and respondent No.2 did not materialize. Consequently, they neither appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist



CRM-M-7340-2025

-2-

Class, Patiala, for recording their statements nor before this Court. No purpose will be served by granting further adjournment.

4. In view of the above, petition is accordingly declined. However, the petitioner and respondent No.2 are at liberty to avail their legal remedy as per law.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

16.09.2025.

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No