



238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-5103-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 01-10-2025

SEKH ABDUL HAFIZPetitioner
VERSUS
RAJINDER KUMAR AND ORS.Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Kaushik, Advocate
For the respondents.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing, following order was passed:-

*“Nobody is putting appearance on behalf of the petitioner.
Petitioner herein is the tenant, whose ejection from the demised premises was ordered by the learned Appellate Court. While issuing notice of motion on 16.11.2022, operation of the impugned ejection order was stayed by this Court. It appears that by taking benefit of the said stay order, petitioner is not intentionally appearing before this Court.*

*In the interest of justice, adjourned to 24.09.2025.
However, order dated 16.11.2022 is hereby recalled whereby operation of ejection order was stayed.”*

2. It has been observed that the order of ejection of the demised premises passed in favour of the respondents by the Appellate Authority was stayed by this Court vide impugned order dated 16.11.2022.

3. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and vide order dated 02.07.2025, interim order was vacated however, the petition was adjourned in the interest of justice.

4. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition, hence, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

5. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

01-10-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO