

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

138

INCOMP-8222-2024
(Diary No. 1774142)
Case Type: FAO
(MACT Case 42-2015/2016)

Date of Decision: 23.01.2025

Sunita and others

.... Appellants

Versus

Sunil Kumar and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - None.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The aforesaid appeal was filed on 19.05.2016 by Mr. Gurinder Singh Aulakh, Advocate. However, certain objections were raised by the Registry on 09.06.2017.

As per Rule 9, Chapter I, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition, on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel party, who filed it, to re-file within a period of 40 days. However, the same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Following note was displayed/published by the Registry in the cause list and website of this Hon'ble Court on 18.9.2024 and 25.9.2024:-

“All the Advocates/Parties are requested to collect the cases as mentioned in the list attached below which are lying pending in the Objection Counter of DRR Branch and not taken back till today, within one month of the date of publication of this notice failing which all the cases except the Caveat Applications) will be listed before Hon'ble Court on judicial side for appropriate orders.”

Even after the publication of the note requesting the Advocate to collect his case for re-filing after rectification of objections, the needful was not done. Consequently, the matter has been listed before this Court in urgent list today.

There is no representation on behalf of the appellants.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts of the matter, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the records.

23.01.2025
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No