

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.

132

2025:PHHC:043982



CWP-9035-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.04.2025.

SATISH KUMAR AND OTHERS ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

PRESENT: Mr. Shokeen Singh Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy involved in the present petition is squarely covered by the judgment dated 04.10.2024 passed by this Court in a bunch of writ petitions with **CWP-1281-2020 titled Shyam Sunder and others vs. State of Haryana and Anr**' being the lead case and based on this decision, this Court vide order dated 30.01.2025 passed in **CWP-6314-2020 titled Shiv Kumar vs. State of Haryana and another,** allowed the writ petition.

Learned State counsel has not controverted this fact.

Disposed of in terms of judgment dated 04.10.2024 passed in **CWP-1281-2020 titled Shyam Sunder and others vs. State of Haryana and Anr. and judgment dated 30.01.2025 passed in CWP-6314-2020 titled Shiv Kumar vs. State of Haryana and another.**

April 01, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No