



CRM-M-15622-2025 (O&M)

-1-

213-a

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15622-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.09.2025**

PRADEEP ALIAS SONU

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Tapan Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS, 2023') seeking grant of regular bail in FIR No. 131 dated 20.09.2023 under Sections 66-D of Information and Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 and Section 420 IPC registered at Police Station Cyber Crime East, Gurugram, District Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and no recovery has been effected from him nor any specific role has been attributed to him. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than one year and ten months. Learned counsel further submits that trial of the case is likely to consume considerable time as out of total 23 prosecution



CRM-M-15622-2025 (O&M)

-2-

witnesses only one who is complainant has been examined. He prays that the petitioner be released on regular bail during the pendency of the trial.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, appearing on advance notice, opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that if the petitioner is released on bail, he will certainly again indulge in similar activities. He further contended that some gadgets have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and he has inter-state cyber crime links.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present case while limiting his prayer to the extent that a direction be given to the trial Court to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible.

5. Permitted to do so.

6. Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with a direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial within a period of two months from the next date fixed therein as stipulated under Section 437(6) Cr.P.C. (Section 480(6) of BNSS). However, it is made clear that in case the trial Court fails to do so, the petitioner will be at liberty to move an application for bail and the trial Court will decide the same, in accordance with law.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

02.09.2025

Sima

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*