

2025:PHHC:023849-DB

CM-1077-LPA-2024,
CM-1075-LPA-2024,
CM-1076-LPA-2024,
CM-1078-LPA-2024 in/and
LPA-449-2024
Date of Decision: 19.02.2025

Haryana Staff Selection Commission ...Appellant

Vs.

Jyoti and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. AG, Haryana for the appellant.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)**CM-1075-LPA-2024**

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and delay of 127 days in refiling the appeal is condoned.

CM-1077-LPA-2024

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and delay of 36 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

LPA-449-2024 (O&M)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant fairly states that in similar case titled Haryana Staff Selection Commission vs. Suresh Kumar and another, LPA-1815-2023, challenge was made to the order passed by the learned Single Judge, before the Division Bench of this Court, wherein, the Coordinate Bench held as under:-

“12. Thus, against the required six months course in computers respondent No.1 is certified to have successfully undergone computer training for a period of one year.

13. The certificate further reveals that the course in computer training undertaken by respondent No.1 is from a Government College and that the same was jointly conducted by the State of Haryana and Tata Infotech Education. The certificate has also been counter signed by the Principal of the Government Post Graduate College, Hisar. Therefore, at least the State of Haryana or the Haryana Staff Selection Commission cannot discard the said certificate on the ground that the course was not from a recognized institute.

14. The list of the “recognized” institutes mentioned in the meeting of the Commission held on 07.09.2018 was not given in the advertisement and therefore cannot be pressed into service by the appellant especially when as per the advertisement in question the last date for receipt of applications was 23.11.2015 and by 07.09.2018 virtually the entire selection process was over.

15. Similarly, the requirement of the computer course to be of at least 280 hours duration also cannot be imposed by the appellant as no such requirement finds mention in the advertisement and cannot be allowed to be introduced for the first time by the Commission virtually at the end of the entire selection process.

17. In the light of the afore discussion, we find no merit in the instant Intra Court Appeal. Resultantly, the same is hereby dismissed.”

2. The challenge to the order of the Division Bench before the Hon’ble Supreme Court also resulted in rejection.
3. In view thereto, we need not to re-examine the issue and the appeal is dismissed in the same terms.
4. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

19.02.2025
rajesh

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | : | Yes/No |