



RSA-4527-2000 (O&M)

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(213)

RSA-4527-2000 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 11.08.2025

Megh Raj

....Appellant

Versus

Bhim Singh (deceased) through his LRs and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Arun Singal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 07.03.2006, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

*“PRESENT:- Mr. Arun Singal, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. D.S. Nalwa, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana*

Contends that the learned first Appellate Court has completely misread the documentary evidence on record and has wrongly held that the appellants had crossed the age of 60 years. According to the learned counsel, the findings recorded by the learned first Appellate Court being contrary to the record cannot be sustained.

The argument of the learned counsel raises a substantial question of law.

Admitted.

The interim order dated December 19, 2000 shall continue to operate till further orders.



RSA-4527-2000 (O&M)

-2-

However, it is made clear that the appellant shall not be entitled to continue beyond the age of superannuation, as determined by the department.

It is further directed that the service on the legal representative Nos.3 to 6 of respondent No.1 is dispensed with.

MARCH 07, 2006”

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that in view of the above, the present appeal be disposed of but liberty be granted to the appellant to revive the same in case any cause still survives.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of, with the aforesaid liberty.

August 11, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No