



**RFA-3299-2002(O&M)
and other connected cases**

**303 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. RFA-3299-2002(O&M)

**Walia Ram (since deceased) through his LRs
..Appellant
Versus**

**State of Haryana and others
..Respondents**

2. RFA-3301-2002(O&M)

**Bagu Ram
..Appellant
Versus**

**State of Haryana and others
..Respondents**

3. RFA-3302-2002(O&M)

**Rehra Ram (since deceased) through his LRs and others
..Appellants
Versus**

**State of Haryana and others
..Respondents**

4. RFA-3303-2002(O&M)

**Amir Chand and others
..Appellants
Versus**

**State of Haryana and others
..Respondents**

5. RFA-199-2004(O&M)

**Dharambir and others
..Appellants
Versus**

**State of Haryana and others
..Respondents**

6. RFA-2345-2017(O&M)



**RFA-3299-2002(O&M)
and other connected cases**

Karambir and others

..Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

7. RFA-3300-2002(O&M)

Sunhera (since deceased) through his LRs

..Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

Date of decision: 10.02.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the appellants

Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Lalit Thakur, Advocate

Mr. Utkarsh Khetana, Advocate for the respondent-IOCL

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, seven Regular First Appeals, mentioned above, shall stand disposed of.
2. As per the office note, there were as many as 102 Regular First Appeals arising from notification dated 25.05.1993 for acquiring the land to construct road from National Highway No.1 to Panipat Refinery. Initially, this Court vide judgment dated 26.02.2016 decided the appeals, however, the Supreme Court vide order dated 31.07.2018 remitted the matter back to the High Court. Thereafter, by a detailed judgment passed on 18.12.2019, in **RFA-2517-2011** titled as '**Indian Oil Corporation Limited vs. Khan Chand Bajaj (deceased) through his LRs and another**, the appeals qua



**RFA-3299-2002(O&M)
and other connected cases**

this acquisition were decided and the landowners were held entitled to the following amount:-

“(ii) For the second notification dated 13/25.05.1993, the appeals of the landowners are allowed and the market value is assessed as under:-

Village	Market value (per acre alongwith all statutory benefits)
Ali Asgarpur, Baroli	Rs.3,00,000/-
Rajapur	Rs.2,80,000/-
Begumpur	Rs.2,60,000/-
Dadlana	Rs.2,40,000/-

*An additional amount of 20% of the market value is also granted on account of severance charge, on account of the land having been bifurcated due to construction of the road. However, it is made clear that on the amount of severance the landowners would not be entitled for the benefits of Section 23(1A) and Section 23 (2) as it is not the market value as such and in view of the law laid down by the Apex Court passed in **Amarjit Singh (supra).**”*

3. However, at that time, the office failed to list the present seven appeals alongwith the other appeals. On 07.02.2025, the following order was passed:-

“As per the office report, 94 connected appeals have been decided out of total 102 appeals.

The Court Master has informed that after the matter was remitted back by the Supreme Court vide order dated 31.07.2018, this Court by a detailed judgment on 18.12.2019 in RFA-2517-2011 has finally decided the market value of the acquired land.

Learned counsel representing the appellant prays for a short accommodation.

Adjourned on 10.02.2025.

No further request for an adjournment, oral or written, shall be entertained.



**RFA-3299-2002(O&M)
and other connected cases**

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the other connected cases.”

4. Learned counsel representing the appellants has not come forward to draw the attention of the Court to any difference in these 7 appeals and the appeals already decided vide judgment dated 18.12.2019 in RFA-2517-2011. Accordingly, the present seven appeals are also disposed of in the terms of judgment dated 18.12.2019 passed in RFA-2517-2011. The landowners shall be entitled to the same amount as already assessed by the Court alongwith all statutory benefits.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

10.02.2025

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No