



207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-1368-2025 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision : 21.08.2025**

REKHA

.... Petitioner

VERSUS

SUDHIR RAJPAL, IAS AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN**

Present : Mr. Harsh Vardhan Shehrawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Addl. AG Haryana  
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Vikas Bairagi, Advocate for  
Mr. Abhimanyu Balyan, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5.

**ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that the speaking order was passed without affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as the other persons concerned.

2. Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3, on instructions from Bhupender Singh Nain, Superintendent and Satyawan, Assistant Office of DGHS, states that a fresh order would be passed after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as to the private respondents No.4 and 5 in the writ petition being CWP-26052-2023 within a period of one month from today.

3. Mr. Vikas Bairagi, Advocate for Mr. Abhimanyu Balyan, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5 in the CWP-26052-2023 *ibid* has

pointed out that vide the speaking order the services of respondent No.4 were terminated which has since been challenged in a separate writ petition wherein the termination has been stayed. Learned counsel, however, states that he would have no objection if a fresh speaking order is passed after affording all concerned an opportunity of hearing.

4. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present contempt petition and the same is disposed off as such. Rule stands discharged. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

**21.08.2025**  
*Aman Jain*

**(ALKA SARIN)**  
**JUDGE**

*NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking*  
*Whether reportable: Yes/No*