

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4054-2025

Date of decision: 25.09.2025

Naib Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Rishi Pal and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Ashish Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by Ld. Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bilaspur, whereby his application Annexure P-4 in Civil Suit No.526-2019 for appointment of Local Commissioner has been declined.
2. After hearing the learned counsel and perusing the impugned order, this Court does not find any merit in the revision, considering the fact that petitioner wanted to get the Local Commissioner appointed, so as to determine the present possession/actual site/in side of the house and open yard of the suit property. Ld. Trial Court has rightly observed that agency of the Court cannot be used for the purpose of collecting evidence and the Local Commissioner cannot be appointed to ascertain the possession at the spot, as it will tantamount to collecting of the evidence for the petitioner.
3. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, this Court does not find any illegality or perversity in the impugned order.
4. As such, finding no merit in the present revision petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

25.09.2025

Yogesh

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No