

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.2572 of 2011
Date of decision : 27.11.2013**

Udho Ram

..... Petitioner

versus

Sh. Mohamad Shyan and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. R.S. Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under the Contempt of Courts Act for not obeying the order dated 15.03.2011 by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would be satisfied at this stage if the petitioner is permitted move self-contained representation to the respondent No.3 and a direction is issued to the respondent No.3 to decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. Learned counsel for the

respondents also has no objection to this course of action.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction that if any such representation is moved by the petitioner to the respondent No.3, the same shall be decided by the said respondent by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt thereof.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 27, 2013

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**