



138

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-7135-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.10.2025

Khem Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

Keshav Jain

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner had filed CR-2747-2025 challenging the impugned judgment dated 31.01.2025, which has been challenged in the present revision petition also and in the said case, following order was passed on 06.05.2025:-

“1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he wishes to refer to the documents from the record and thus, prays that the present revision petition be disposed of at this stage with liberty to revive the same after placing on record the relevant documents.

2. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty aforesaid.

06.05.2025”

2. Instead of filing an application for revival after placing on record relevant documents and reviving the abovesaid civil revision i.e.,



CR-2747-2025, the petitioner has filed fresh present revision petition challenging the said judgment again.

3. In view of the order dated 06.05.2025, second present revision petition is not maintainable and accordingly the same is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to revive CR-2747-2025 after complying with the observations made in the order dated 06.05.2025.

4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

09.10.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No