



CRM-M-39101-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-39101-2025  
Decided on: 09.10.2025

KARAN

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Shivender Pal Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.159 dated 20.06.2025 registered under Section 21 of the NDPS Act (Section 29 of the NDPS Act added later on) at Police Station City South, Moga.
2. On 24.07.2025, following order was passed:-

*“Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.159 dated 20.06.2025 registered under Section 21 of the NDPS Act (Section 29 of the NDPS Act added later on) at Police Station City South, Moga.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the present case, Navpreet Kaur, wife of the petitioner, was apprehended by the police, while she was carrying 290 grams of heroin along with currency notes of Rs.10,500/- in her conscious possession without any permit or licence. He next submits that during the course of investigation, the police is stated to have recorded the disclosure statement of Navpreet*



*Kaur and nominated the petitioner as an accused in the present case. Even the petitioner has been falsely involved, being the husband of Navpreet Kaur. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is not facing any other criminal case under the provisions of NDPS Act.*

*Notice of motion.*

*On the asking of Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.*

*List on 23.09.2025.*

*In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of arresting/investigating officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C./482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.”*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 24.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 07.10.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated

**CRM-M-39101-2025****3**

24.07.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**09.10.2025**

Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**  
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**