



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209-1

**CRM-M-33686-2025
Date of decision: 08.09.2025**

NARENDER

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Shivansh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Haryana.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.124 dated 14.06.2025, under Sections 409, 420, 468, 471, 204, 120-B of IPC and Sections 66C, 66D of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Arya Nagar, District Rohtak.

2. Learned State counsel has filed status report/reply by way of affidavit of Pratik Agrawal, IPS, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Rohtak on behalf of respondent-State in Court today, which is taken on record. Copy of the same be supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. On 01.07.2025, following order had been passed: -

"The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No.124 dated 14.06.2025, under Sections 409,



420, 468, 471, 204, 120-B of IPC and Sections 66C, 66D of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Arya Nagar, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that an amount of Rs.28,61,840/- was embezzled from the District Treasury Office, Rohtak by one-Sudhir Kumar, who was working as Pension Incharge by creating fake pension records in the names of Rachna Devi and Rajesh. The role of the petitioner is that part of the embezzled money was deposited in an account held by the petitioner jointly with his brother-Rajesh. Learned counsel, however, submits that no loss has been caused to the State as before the registration of the instant FIR, the entire embezzled amount was deposited back into the Government Treasury. He further submits that the petitioner is handicapped and suffering from a locomotor disability to the extent of 80%. He has relied upon the disability certificate of the petitioner as Annexure P-4. He also submits that the petitioner is not the main accused who had prepared the forged record to execute the financial transactions and he has been arraigned in the instant FIR only on the basis of joint account holder with his brother Ranjnish Kumar. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State and has not controverted the submission made by the counsel for the petitioner that the entire embezzled amount has been deposited back to the State Treasury. She prays for time to file reply.

May do so before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to learned counsel for the petitioner.

List on 08.09.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation as and when required and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the



CRM-M-33686-2025

satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under subsection (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 01.07.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

5. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from PSI Bijender Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

6. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 01.07.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

08.09.2025

Mohit Bishnoi

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |