

CRM-M-52749-2024

:1:

2025.PHHC.010338



**349 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-52749-2024  
Date of decision:23.01.2025**

PARKASH DEVI ALIAS PARKASHWANTI AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Himanshu Arora Advocate and  
Mr. Sidhant Bhonsle, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Simranjeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 & 3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.156 dated 07.08.2024 under Sections 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 3(1)(a) of SC and ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 registered at Police Station Lakhan Majra, District Rohtak on the basis of compromise.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Mandeep Bhauriya against the petitioners.

3. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 and 3 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the



FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.)-Cum-Judicial Magistrate First Class, Meham along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondents No.2 and 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and**

CRM-M-52749-2024

:3:

2025.PHHC.010338



**another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.156 dated 07.08.2024 under Sections 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 3(1) (a) of SC and ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 registered at Police Station Lakhan Majra, District Rohtak and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

**23.01.2025**

Priyanka Thakur

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No