

2025:PHHC:131695



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CRM-M-46927-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 22.09.2025

SURJIT KUMAR @ SURJEET KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. R.S.Sekhon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.233 dated 02.08.2025, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Batala, District Gurdaspur, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 (Section 110 of BNS added later on).

2. On 27.08.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that all the injuries attributed to the petitioner and his co-accused have been declared simple in nature and co-accused Mandeep Singh, has been granted interim pre-arrest bail by the Coordinate Bench vide order dated 21.08.2025, passed in CRM-M-45606-2025. He is ready and willing to join investigation as and when required by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 08.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 22.09.2025.

Mr.Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the investigating officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 27.08.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

22.09.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No