



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Writ Petition No. 6394 of 2025

Date of Decision: 16.06.2025

Pawan Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana, for respondents No.1 to 3.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The alleged detenués are working in a brick kiln. It has been alleged that they are being forced to work in the brick kiln against their wishes.
2. The District Magistrate of the District is the authority specifically authorized under Section 10 of the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976 to deal with such cases.
3. Accordingly, the petitioner is relegated to the remedy before the District Magistrate. The representation, if any, filed by the petitioner shall be decided by the concerned District Magistrate within two weeks.
4. Disposed of.

**(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge**

June 16, 2025

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No