

2025:PHHC:047019



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

710

CWP-6115-2004 (O & M)
Date of decision: 05.04.2025

Roopan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for regularize the services of the petitioner, might not even be surviving due to efflux of time.
2. Learned State counsel, however, on the other hand, refers to para 2 of preliminary submissions of written statement by way of affidavit of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Hoshiarpur, dated 14.10.2004, to state that as per the instructions, the Government had prescribed 8th Class as minimum qualification for the Class-IV post, but the petitioner had studied only upto 2nd Class, and thus, could not fulfil the said requirement, and to Annexure R-1 showing that the salary was released for the period she had worked, substantiating the averments made in para 4 on merits of the written statement.
3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case *lis* still subsists.

05.04.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No