



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

227

COCP-1118-2023

Date of decision: 09.07.2024

PARDEEP KUMAR HUF KARTA PARDEEP KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

PROMILA JAISWAL AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Munish Kumar, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 03.09.2021 passed by the Executing Court in EXE. No.115-2021.

2. Having 'No Objection' from the earlier counsel, Mr. Munish Kumar, Advocate, puts in appearance and files his power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.2, which is taken on record. Registry is directed to scan and tag it at an appropriate place.

3. Counsel for respondent No.1 has submitted that necessary amount has been deducted from the pensionary benefits payable to respondent No.2-judgment debtor, and the same has been deposited in the account of the petitioner/decreed holder. Copy of communication to that effect sent to the Executing Court, has been produced by the counsel for respondent No.1 in the Court today. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to scan and tag it at an appropriate place.



COCP-1118-2023

/2/

4. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.

5. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

09.07.2024

parveen kumar

**(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No